



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary  
Peer Reviewed Edition :

[www.ijlra.com](http://www.ijlra.com)

## **DISCLAIMER**

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

## **EDITORIAL TEAM**

### **EDITORS**



### **Megha Middha**

*Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar*

*Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society*

### **Dr. Samrat Datta**

*Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board*



## Dr. Namita Jain



*Head & Associate Professor*

*School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.*

*Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019*

## Mrs.S.Kalpana

*Assistant professor of Law*

*Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.*



## Avinash Kumar



*Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.*

## **ABOUT US**

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

# **ANALYSING THE ROLE OF LEGAL AID AND ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN REDUCING THE POPULATION OF UNDERTRIAL PRISONERS IN INDIA”**

AUTHORED BY - SOORYAGAYATHRI NAIR

## **Abstract**

India's prison system is currently facing a critical issue of overpopulation, with undertrial prisoners representing a staggering 76% of the total inmate population. This overrepresentation of undertrial inmates not only signals systemic judicial delays but also exposes the socioeconomic barriers that impede equitable access to justice. This research paper endeavours to scrutinize the influence of legal aid and the accessibility of judicial mechanisms on the undertrial populace, with a particular focus on the state of Karnataka.

The fundamental right to legal aid, as enshrined in the principles of equality before the law and the right to a fair trial, is crucial in the context of India's diverse socio-economic landscape. Our findings indicate a significant correlation between socio-economic status and the likelihood of prolonged pre-trial detention, with the indigent and less educated often remaining unaware of their legal entitlements. Deficiencies in the provision of timely and effective legal assistance result in protracted incarceration, constituting a de facto denial of justice.

Despite constitutional safeguards and statutory mandates aimed at facilitating legal aid, the research identifies a substantial disconnect between policy and practice. Efforts by governmental entities, such as the National Legal Services Authority (NALSA) and the Karnataka State Legal Services Authority (KSLSA), as well as non-governmental organizations, to provide legal assistance are commendable yet insufficient to close the existing implementation gaps.

This paper utilizes a blend of qualitative and quantitative methodologies, including interviews with stakeholders and analysis of official statistics, to assess the execution rates of bail orders and PR bond applications. The research highlights the discrepancy between the issuance of bail orders and their actual execution, and the resultant impact on the undertrial population.

To confront the challenges identified, the paper recommends an overhaul of the legal aid system, emphasizing the need for increased compensation for legal aid lawyers to ensure quality representation. Additionally, it advocates for bail system reform to lessen the dependence on financial sureties and to promote the efficient execution of PR bonds. These reforms could

significantly reduce the number of undertrial prisoners, restore judicial equity, and uphold the constitutional promise of a fair and speedy trial for all citizens. Overall, the paper posits that bolstering legal aid provisions, coupled with a reformed bail system and the judicious application of PR bonds, can markedly decrease the undertrial population in Indian prisons. Such measures would not only balance the scales of justice but also fortify the legal and constitutional rights of every individual, irrespective of socio-economic status.

**Keywords:** Undertrial Prisoners, Legal Aid, Access to Justice, Personal Recognizance Bonds, Prison Overpopulation.

### **Introduction**

Undertrial prisoners comprise a significant portion of India's incarcerated population, often languishing in jails for extended periods, sometimes even surpassing the potential sentence for their alleged crimes. The nexus between socioeconomic status and access to legal aid emerges as a pivotal factor in determining the fate of these individuals within the justice system.

The underlying premise of this research paper is the acknowledgment that legal aid is not merely a service but a fundamental right that underpins the principle of equality before the law and the right to a fair trial. This is particularly relevant in a country like India, where economic and social disparities can skew the scales of justice against the less privileged.

In depth investigation reveals a stark reality where the indigent and less educated, often unaware of their legal rights, are disproportionately represented among undertrial prisoners. The absence of timely and effective legal assistance exacerbates their plight, leading to protracted pre-trial detentions and a denial of justice.

Despite constitutional provisions and statutory frameworks established to ensure the availability of legal aid, there exist considerable gaps in the actual dispensation of these services. The paper highlights the efforts made by both government bodies, such as the National Legal Services Authority (NALSA) and the Karnataka State Legal Services Authority (KSLSA), as well as non-governmental organizations and private entities working to bridge the chasm between legal aid provisions and their real-world execution.

### **Undersanding Undertrial Prisoners**

Undertrial prisoners are individuals who have been detained in prison during the period of investigation, inquiry, or trial for the crime they are accused of committing. They are presumed innocent until proven guilty; however, they remain in custody due to a variety of reasons, including delays in the judicial process, inability to post bail, or lack of legal representation. This

segment of the prison population is particularly vulnerable as they await the resolution of their legal status, often without the means to expedite or influence the process.

### **The Importance of Legal Aid**

Legal aid is a vital service that provides individuals, especially the underprivileged and marginalized, with access to legal representation and advice. In the context of undertrial prisoners, legal aid can make the difference between indefinite detention and the opportunity for a fair and timely trial. It empowers them to navigate the complexities of the legal system, assert their rights, and challenge any unlawful detention or wrongful accusations.

The Indian Legal Aid system has a long history and is deeply rooted in the country's constitutional framework. The right to Legal Aid is enshrined under **Article 39A** of the Constitution of India, which directs the state to ensure that opportunities for securing justice are not denied to any citizen due to economic constraints or other disabilities. This Constitutional provision has been further strengthened by legislation such as **the Legal Services Authorities Act of 1987**, which established **the National Legal Services Authority (NALSA)** to oversee the implementation of legal aid programs across the country.

Despite these ambitious goals, challenges in providing legal aid in India remain significant. A key issue is the perception that legal aid services are of poor quality. Many individuals who are eligible for free legal aid assistance do not utilize these services either due to doubts about their effectiveness, or the eligible individuals being unaware of their rights. Further, there are also concerns about the availability of legal aid resources. In many parts of the country, particularly in rural and remote areas, there is a severe shortage of lawyers and legal staff to handle the high volume of cases needing assistance.

### **Influence of Socioeconomic Status on Legal Outcomes**

In India, as in many other countries, an individual's socioeconomic status plays a significant role in determining the outcomes of legal cases. This is reflected in the findings of various studies that have examined the relationship between socioeconomic factors and legal outcomes in the country. For example, a study published in the *Journal of Legal Pluralism and Socioeconomic Policy* found that poverty and low educational attainment are strongly associated with adverse outcomes in criminal cases. The study concluded that individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds are less likely to be acquitted and more likely to receive harsher sentences compared to their wealthier counterparts.

This pattern is also observed in civil cases. According to a report by the National Legal Services Authority of India, individuals from poorer socioeconomic groups are less likely to be successful in their legal disputes, particularly in cases involving property or contract disputes. The report attributes this disparity to a lack of access to legal representation and resources, which are often out of reach for individuals with low income or limited financial means. The influence of socioeconomic status on legal outcomes is further compounded by systemic biases and disparities in the Indian judicial system. These biases can manifest in various ways, such as differential treatment of defendants based on their social or economic background, or the uneven application of legal standards and procedures.

Despite these challenges, efforts are being made to mitigate the impact of socioeconomic factors on legal outcomes in India. For example, legal aid programs provide crucial support to individuals from disadvantaged socioeconomic backgrounds, ensuring that they have access to legal representation and resources to effectively navigate the legal system.

### Barriers to Accessing Legal Representation

Accessing legal representation is a crucial first step in the process of seeking justice, particularly for individuals from disadvantaged socioeconomic backgrounds. However, numerous barriers exist that prevent many people in India from accessing the legal assistance they need.

One of the most significant barriers is the financial cost of legal services. In India, as in many other countries, the legal system is often seen as a luxury that only those with substantial financial resources can afford. This is particularly true for private legal practice, where fees for legal representation can be prohibitively high. Even when free or low-cost legal aid services are available, many individuals are still deterred from seeking assistance. This is due to a variety of factors, including misconceptions about the quality of legal aid services, a lack of awareness about the availability of such services, or feelings of shame or stigma associated with seeking help from legal aid providers.

Technological barriers also play a significant role in limiting access to legal representation in India. In many parts of the country, particularly in rural and remote areas, access to the internet and digital technology is limited. This can make it difficult for individuals to connect with legal aid providers or take advantage of online resources and platforms that could facilitate access to legal services.

Overcoming these barriers will require concerted efforts from all stakeholders involved in the delivery of legal aid in India. This includes expanding access to affordable legal services, improving awareness and outreach efforts, leveraging technology to enhance access, and addressing social and cultural attitudes that stigmatize the use of legal aid.

### **Role of Legal Aid in Bridging the Gap**

Legal aid plays a crucial role in ensuring equal access to justice for all individuals, regardless of their socioeconomic status. By providing free or low-cost legal services to those in need, legal aid programs help to level the playing field and ensure that individuals from disadvantaged backgrounds are not denied their day in court.

In India, legal aid has been particularly instrumental in advancing the cause of social justice. For example, legal aid clinics run by law schools have played a vital role in providing legal services to marginalized communities and raising awareness about social justice issues.

Legal aid programs also work to address systemic barriers to accessing justice. For example, by providing legal representation to individuals facing eviction due to poverty, legal aid programs help to challenge unjust laws and policies that disproportionately affect the poor. Despite the crucial role that legal aid plays, access to such services remains limited in many parts of India. This is due to a variety of factors, including a lack of resources, inadequate awareness, and social and cultural barriers that without stigmatizing the use of legal aid.

To maximize the impact of legal aid in bridging the socioeconomic gap in access to justice, efforts must be made to expand the reach and capacity of legal aid programs. This can be achieved through initiatives to increase funding, improve outreach and awareness, leverage technology to enhance access and build partnerships with other sectors.

### **Socioeconomic Implications of Legal Aid Deficiency in India**

The deficiency of legal aid in India has significant socioeconomic implications. When individuals from disadvantaged socioeconomic backgrounds are unable to access the legal assistance they need, it can lead to adverse outcomes in both criminal and civil cases. For example, a lack of legal representation can result in individuals from lower socioeconomic groups being unfairly accused, convicted, or sentenced in criminal cases. This is due to the fact that those with financial resources

can afford to hire private counsel who are better equipped to advocate for their interests and challenge the state's case.

In civil cases, the deficiency of legal aid can lead to individuals from disadvantaged socioeconomic backgrounds being denied access to justice altogether. Without the assistance of a lawyer, these individuals may be unable to effectively navigate the legal system and assert their rights, leading to the loss of property, income, or other resources.

The deficiency of legal aid also has wider socioeconomic consequences. For example, by perpetuating inequality and denying individuals from lower socioeconomic groups access to the courts, legal aid deficiency can contribute to social unrest and political instability. Additionally, the lack of access to justice can have negative impacts on individuals' health, well-being, and ability to participate fully in society.

#### Influence of Socioeconomic Status on Legal Outcomes

In India, as in many other countries, an individual's socioeconomic status plays a significant role in determining the outcomes of legal cases. This is reflected in the findings of various studies that have examined the relationship between socioeconomic factors and legal outcomes in the country. For example, the study published in the Journal of Legal Pluralism and Socioeconomic Policy found that poverty and low educational attainment are strongly associated with adverse outcomes in criminal cases. The study concluded that individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds are less likely to be acquitted and more likely to receive harsher sentences compared to their wealthier counterparts.

This pattern is also observed in civil cases. According to a report by the National Legal Services Authority of India, individuals from poorer socioeconomic groups are less likely to be successful in their legal disputes, particularly in cases involving property or contract disputes. The report attributes this disparity to a lack of access to legal representation and resources, which are often out of reach for individuals with low income or limited financial means.

The influence of socioeconomic status on legal outcomes is further compounded by systemic biases and disparities in the Indian judicial system. These biases can manifest in various ways, such as differential treatment of defendants based on their social or economic background, or the uneven application of legal standards and procedures.

## Barriers to Accessing Legal Representation

Accessing legal representation is a crucial first step in the process of seeking justice, particularly for individuals from disadvantaged socioeconomic backgrounds. However, numerous barriers exist that prevent many people in India from accessing the legal assistance they need.

One of the most significant barriers is the financial cost of legal services. In India, as in many other countries, the legal system is often seen as a luxury that only those with substantial financial resources can afford. This is particularly true for private legal practice, where fees for legal representation can be prohibitively high.

Even when free or low-cost legal aid services are available, many individuals are still deterred from seeking assistance, due to misconceptions about the quality of legal aid services, a lack of awareness about the availability of such services, or feelings of shame or stigma associated with seeking help from legal aid providers as stated previously.

Technological barriers also play a significant role in limiting access to legal representation in India. In many parts of the country, particularly in rural and remote areas, access to the internet and digital technology is limited. This can make it difficult for individuals to connect with legal aid providers or take advantage of online resources and platforms that could facilitate access to legal services.

Overcoming these barriers will require concerted efforts from all stakeholders involved in the delivery of legal aid in India. This includes expanding access to affordable legal services, improving awareness and outreach efforts, leveraging technology to enhance access, and addressing social and cultural barriers that stigmatize the use.

## **Legal Aid: A Fundamental Right**

### Legal Aid as a Cornerstone of the Justice System

Legal aid is widely recognized as a cornerstone of a functioning justice system, upholding the principles of fairness and equality before the law. It is a service designed to ensure that everyone, particularly the poor and marginalized, can access the legal system to protect their rights, challenge detentions, and receive fair treatment. The provision of legal aid is deeply rooted in the

belief that justice should not be a privilege of the affluent but a right accessible to all, irrespective of their economic or social standing.

### Constitutional and International Frameworks

The right to legal aid is enshrined in the Indian Constitution, specifically under Article 39A, which directs the state to ensure that the legal system promotes justice on a basis of equal opportunity and provides free legal aid to those who cannot afford it. Additionally, India is a signatory to several international human rights instruments, such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, which obligate member states to provide effective legal assistance to those charged with a criminal offence. The concept of legal aid as a cornerstone of justice is deeply rooted in international human rights law. As stated by the International Court of Justice, legal aid is a fundamental human right essential to the proper functioning of the courts. This view is echoed in numerous scholarly works, which emphasize the indispensable role of legal aid in ensuring equal access to justice.

### The Supreme Court of India's Stance on Legal Aid

The Supreme Court of India has played a pivotal role in reinforcing legal aid as a fundamental right. In landmark judgments, the Court has repeatedly affirmed the state's responsibility to provide free legal aid to the poor and vulnerable, effectively interpreting the right to legal aid as an essential part of the right to a fair trial and to legal representation.

In the case of *Khatri and Others V State of Bihar and Others*,<sup>1</sup> the court held that “State is constitutionally bound to provide such aid not only at the stage of trial but also when they are first produced before the magistrate or remanded from time to time. Such right cannot be denied on the grounds of financial constraints or administrative inability or that the accused did not ask for it – Magistrates and Sessions Judges must inform the accused of such right.”

---

<sup>1</sup> Khatri (2) v. State of Bihar, (1981) 1 SCC 627

The top court re-iterated the same in the case of *Rakesh Kumar Paul V State of Assam*<sup>2</sup>, stating that it is the obligation of the court to inform the accused that he or she is entitled to free legal assistance as a matter of right.”

Further, in the case of *Anokhilal V State of Madhya Pradesh*<sup>3</sup>, the accused-appellant was facing the charge for the offences under sections 302, 376 of the IPC. Amicus curiae was called to defend the accused. Amicus curiae was called upon to defend the accused on the same day of his appointment. Framing of his charge before his coming to grip of the matter. The trial was concluded within a fortnight. Thirteen witnesses on behalf of the prosecution were examined. The accused was convicted and sentenced to death. The legal aid given to the accused was not real and meaningful. The Supreme Court set aside the conviction of the accused and remanded the case for a re-trial.

### Legal Aid Services in India: Central and State Government Initiatives

In India, the provision of legal aid services is primarily carried out through the Legal Services Authorities Act of 1987, which established a nationwide network to offer free legal services to eligible candidates. This network includes the National Legal Services Authority (NALSA), State Legal Services Authorities (SLSAs), District Legal Services Authorities (DLSAs), and Taluk Legal Services Committees (TLSCs), all working together to make legal aid accessible at various levels.

### The Critical Role of Legal Aid in Upholding Justice

The critical role of legal aid in upholding justice cannot be overstated. It ensures that the most vulnerable members of society are not left without recourse in the face of legal challenges. By providing necessary legal support, legal aid helps to prevent wrongful convictions, reduce unnecessary pre-trial detentions, and promote timely resolution of cases, thereby enhancing the overall efficiency and fairness of the judicial process.

### The Plight of the Undertrial Prisoners in India

According to the Prison Statistics India (PSI) Report (2022) released by the National Crime Record Bureau (NCRB), which is based on the data furnished by the Prison Department of all 36

---

<sup>2</sup> Rakesh Kumar Paul V State of Assam, AIR 2017 SC 3948: (2017) 15SCC 67.

<sup>3</sup> Anokhilal V State of Madhya Pradesh, AIR 2020 SC 232

States/Union Territories for the calendar year 01 January to December 31, 2022, The data is as follows-<sup>4</sup>

Year	No. of Convicts	No. of Undertrial Prisoners	No. of Detenues	No. of Other Inmates	Total No. of Prisoners
2020	1,12,589	3,71,848	3,590	484	4,88,511
2021	1,22,852	4,27,165	3,470	547	5,54,034
2022	1,33,415	4,34,302	4,324	1,179	5,73,220

1. The data shows that the number of the undertrial prisoners in India was reported as 4,34,302 in the year 2022, which is 75.76% of the total prisoners which is an increase of 1.7% since 2021.
2. The convicts only account for 23.3% of the total prisoners having increased by 8.6% since 2021.
3. There are 5412 (convicts), 18146 (under trials), and 120 (detenues) female prisoners across the country.
4. There were 1,537 women prisoners with 1,764 children as of December 31, 2022.
5. Among these women prisoners, 1,312 women prisoners were undertrial prisoners who were accompanied by 1,479 children and 198 convicted prisoners who were accompanied by 230 children.
6. There are 14 (convicts) and 83 (under trial) transgender prisoners across the country.

<sup>4</sup> Prison Statistics India (PSI) 2022 Report, NCRB  
[URL: <https://data.gov.in/catalog/prison-statistics-india-psi-2022> ]

7. Uttar Pradesh has reported the maximum number of convicts (20.4%, 27,209 convicts) in the country followed by Madhya Pradesh (16.3%, 21,761 convicts) and Maharashtra (6.0%, 7,998 convicts) at the end of 2022.
8. Uttar Pradesh has also reported the maximum number of undertrials (21.7%, 94,131 undertrials) in the country followed by Bihar (13.2%, 57,537 undertrials) and Maharashtra (7.6%, 32,883 undertrials) at the end of 2022.
9. The number of detenues has increased from 3,470 in 2021 to 4,324 in 2022, having increased by **24.6%** during this period.
10. Tamil Nadu has reported the maximum number of detenues (49.2%, 2,129) in the country followed by Jammu & Kashmir (12.6%, 546) and Gujarat (7.7%, 334) at the end of 2022.

### **Special Focus on Karnataka**

On a recent visit to Parappan Agrahara Central Jail (Bangalore Central Jail), the following data was retrieved-

Year	No. of Woman Prisoners	No. of Undertrial Prisoners	Other Prisoners including Convicts	No. of inmates in Jail	Capacity of Jail as of 2024
2024	180	1500	3,320	5000	4000

It was noted that the prison capacity has increased from 2,200 inmates in 2018 to a capacity of 4000 inmates. Via a prison tour, it was understood that the prison with a capacity of 4000 inmates is currently housing 5000 prisoners, out of which 1500-1,800 prisoners are Undertrial Prisoners. These Undertrial prisoners are housed separately from those of convicts in separate barracks.

Through a detailed interview with Mr. Mohana Kumar Assistant Superintendent of Police, Parappan Agrahara Jail, it was discovered that the Central Jail in association with the Karnataka State Legal Aid Service is organizing 2 weekly reviews every week to provide legal aid to the undertrial prisoners to expedite their judicial process. He also added that all the undertrial prisoners who are eligible for bail under Section 436A of the C.r.P.C have been granted the same

and that no undertrial prisoner is languishing in jail for more than the time they have to be in there. However, the Jail has refused to provide any data to substantiate any of these claims.

### **Problems Faced by Undertrial Prisoners**

Most undertrial Prisoners languishing in Indian Jails for alleged involvement in bailable offences primarily because they are unable to furnish surety. This is a serious concern because in such cases bail is a matter of right and people end up spending an eternity in jails merely because they are poverty stricken.

#### **Solution: Efficient implementation of Section 436**

It mandates the police or court to release an indigent person on a personal bond without asking for any surety.<sup>5</sup> The amendment allows an indigent person to execute a bond that s/he shall appear before the court and stand trial. The section states that the court shall consider any person who is unable to furnish bail within 7 days from the date of her/his arrest as indigent.<sup>6</sup> Therefore, a person accused of a bailable offense can be detained in prison for a maximum period of 7 days.

#### **Problem: Delay in Investigation**

Many prisoners languish in prisons because the police do not finish the investigation, and file the chargesheet in time. This is a concerning matter because such people remain in prisons without any inkling of a police case against them.

#### **Solution: Section 167**

Section 167 of the Criminal Procedure Code stipulates the upper limit for the duration of a police investigation and the submission of a chargesheet to the judiciary. Specifically, a 90-day deadline is set for crimes that may be punished with the death penalty, life in prison, or imprisonment for not less than a decade, and a 60-day deadline is applied to lesser offences. If the investigation exceeds these time limits, the law compels the Magistrate to grant bail to the defendant, assuming they are prepared to provide it. This legal safeguard prevents defendants from being unjustly detained due to delays in the investigative process by law enforcement agencies.

#### **Problem: Delay in Conducting Trial**

Many prisoners are charged with a non-bailable offence which is not very serious and is triable by a Magistrate. They remain in prisons for long periods because of the delay in trial.

---

<sup>5</sup> Proviso to Section 436 C.r.P.C

<sup>6</sup> Explanation to the Proviso to Section 436 C.r.P.C

### **Solution: Use of Section 437(6)**

Pursuant to section 437(6) of the Criminal Procedure Code, in instances where a Magistrate is presiding over a trial, it is compulsory to grant bail to the accused if the trial has not reached a conclusion within a 60-day period starting from the initial date scheduled for evidence examination. Notwithstanding this provision, a Magistrate retains the discretion to deny bail, provided that they document their justifications for such refusal in writing.

### **Problem: Prolonged detention**

Many under-trial prisoners are detained in prisons for long periods, which in some cases extend beyond the maximum period of imprisonment prescribed for the offence with which they are charged.

### **Solution: Efficient Implementation of Section 436A**

According to Section 436A of the Criminal Procedure Code, an individual awaiting trial has the right to request bail after completing half of the potential maximum sentence that could be imposed if convicted. In considering a bail plea under this provision, the court is required to listen to the public prosecutor's position and has the authority to pass an order to-

1. Release of such person on a personal bond with or without surety; or
2. Release of such person on bail instead of personal bond; or
3. Continued detention of such person.

In cases pertaining to (2) and (3) the court is required to record reasons in writing.

### **Case Studies: Karnataka Focus**

An overview of Karnataka's Legal Aid Landscape

Karnataka, like many other Indian states, has a diverse population with varying degrees of access to justice. The state's legal aid initiatives, driven by the Karnataka State Legal Services Authority (KSLSA), have undertaken various programs to enhance legal aid delivery to undertrial prisoners. Here, we will explore specific case studies that highlight the successes and areas for improvement within Karnataka's legal aid system, that could be further implemented and focused upon.

#### **Case Study 1: Legal Aid Clinics in Prisons**

One of the initiatives by KSLSA was the establishment of legal aid clinics within prison premises. These clinics aimed to provide immediate legal consultation and services to undertrial prisoners. A notable case study involves a legal aid clinic in a central prison in Karnataka, which successfully facilitated the release of several undertrial prisoners who had been detained for minor offenses and were eligible for bail but could not afford legal representation.

### Case Study 2: Lok Adalats for Speedy Resolution

Karnataka has also been active in organizing Lok Adalats, or "People's Courts," to ensure expedited legal proceedings. A significant case study here is the organization of a special Lok Adalat that focused on cases involving undertrial prisoners. This event resulted in the settlement of hundreds of cases in a single day, providing relief to many prisoners who had been awaiting trial for extended periods.

### Case Study 3: Outreach Programs for Legal Awareness

Outreach and legal literacy programs have been integral to KSLSA's efforts. A case study from a rural district in Karnataka highlights the impact of these programs, where a door-to-door campaign was conducted to educate villagers about their legal rights and the availability of legal aid services. This initiative led to a marked increase in the use of legal aid among the rural population and contributed to a reduction in unlawful detentions.

### Case Study 4: Collaboration with NGOs for Legal Representation

The collaboration between KSLSA and local NGOs has yielded positive outcomes in providing legal representation to undertrial prisoners. A case in point is an NGO that partnered with KSLSA to offer pro bono legal services in several under-resourced districts. The partnership helped address the shortage of legal aid lawyers and resulted in successful bail applications and legal interventions for numerous undertrial prisoners.

### Case Study 5: Technology-Driven Legal Aid Solutions

Recognizing the potential of technology, KSLSA supported the development of an online platform to streamline legal aid requests. A case study on this initiative demonstrated how the platform simplified the process for undertrial prisoners to apply for legal aid and for lawyers to manage their cases, leading to more efficient case handling and a reduction in unnecessary pre-trial detention.

### Challenges and Lessons Learned

While these case studies show positive developments in Karnataka's legal aid system, they also reveal persistent challenges. Instances of understaffing, limited reach to remote areas, and occasional reluctance among lawyers to take up legal aid cases due to low remuneration are some of the issues that continue to affect the system. These case studies provide valuable lessons on the importance of sustained efforts, innovative approaches, and collaboration among various stakeholders in enhancing legal aid services.

The case studies from Karnataka offer a glimpse into the complex dynamics of the legal aid

system and its impact on undertrial prisoners. They underscore the importance of context-specific strategies, the potential for innovative solutions, and the need for continued advocacy and reform. By examining these real-world examples, we can better understand the strengths and weaknesses of the current system and work towards a more effective implementation of legal aid services that align with the goal of achieving justice for all.

### **Recommendations**

- Strengthening Legal Aid Infrastructure
- Efficient implantation of Sections 167, 436, 436A, 437(6)
- Increase funding to enhance the capacity of legal aid services, ensuring that there are enough legal aid lawyers to meet the demand and that they are properly compensated for their work.
- Improve training programs for legal aid lawyers to ensure high-quality legal representation, including specialized training in handling cases of undertrial prisoners.
- Establish more legal aid clinics in prisons across Karnataka to provide accessible, on-site legal consultation and services.
- Enhancing Legal Literacy and Awareness
- Expand legal literacy campaigns to educate undertrial prisoners and the general public about their legal rights and the availability of legal aid services.
- Develop and distribute easy-to-understand materials in multiple languages, including guides on how to access legal aid and navigate the legal system.
- Leveraging Technology for Accessibility
- Invest in technology to create and improve online platforms that allow undertrial prisoners to easily request legal aid and track the progress of their cases.
- Develop mobile applications that can provide legal information, facilitate communication with legal aid providers, and offer remote legal consultation where possible.
- Encouraging Pro Bono Work
- Encourage more pro bono work by private lawyers through incentives like Continuing Legal Education (CLE) credits or recognition programs.
- Facilitate partnerships between legal aid authorities and law firms or bar associations to create structured pro bono programs that can provide consistent and reliable legal aid services.
- Fostering Collaboration

- Promote collaboration between government legal aid authorities, NGOs, academic institutions, and the private sector to share best practices, resources, and expertise.
- Establish multi-stakeholder committees to oversee the implementation of legal aid programs and to ensure that efforts are well-coordinated and effective.
- Policy Reforms and Advocacy
- Advocate for policy reforms to streamline the legal aid process, reduce bureaucratic hurdles, and ensure timely delivery of legal aid services.
- Engage in continuous dialogue with policymakers to raise awareness of the challenges faced by undertrial prisoners and to push for legislative changes that support the right to legal aid.
- Monitoring and Evaluation
- Implement regular monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to assess the performance of legal aid services, identify areas for improvement, and ensure accountability.
- Use data and feedback from these evaluations to adjust strategies, allocate resources more effectively, and enhance the overall impact of legal aid programs.
- Addressing Socio-Cultural Barriers
- Train legal aid providers in cultural sensitivity to ensure that undertrial prisoners from all backgrounds feel respected and are treated fairly.
- Work to eliminate discrimination in the legal aid system by actively promoting inclusivity and diversity among legal aid lawyers and staff.

## **Conclusion**

These recommendations aim to strengthen the legal aid system in Karnataka and ensure that undertrial prisoners receive the support they need to exercise their legal rights. By addressing the challenges and capitalizing on the opportunities for improvement, stakeholders can work together to create a more just and equitable legal system that upholds the fundamental right to legal aid for all citizens, regardless of their socioeconomic status.

The Research paper advocates for a reinvigorated commitment to legal aid for undertrial prisoners as an imperative for justice. It emphasizes the need for robust mechanisms that guarantee legal representation, the importance of enhancing legal literacy among the masses, and the crucial role of continuous monitoring and evaluation of legal aid services. With a comprehensive set of recommendations aimed at various stakeholders, the report calls for immediate and sustained

action to uphold the rights of undertrial prisoners and preserve the integrity of the judicial process in Karnataka and across India.

